

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,407	12/11/2003	Abdelaziz Ikhlef	GEMS8081.201	1406	
27061	7590 12/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (GEMS)			KAO, CHIH CHENG G		
14135 NORTH MEQUON, W	H CEDARBURG ROAD /I 53097	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11120011, 11			2882		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/707,407	IKHLEF ET AL.	(m)
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882	

	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orightan three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a second content of the proposed cont	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15.   Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	-	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9.12-15 and 18-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
	du		
	E	DWARD J. GLICK	

**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER** 

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Regarding at least claim 1, the additional claim recitations including the optical mask located closer to the scintillator array than the photodiode array raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Regarding at least claim 8, the additional claim recitations including the at least optical mask element located closer to the first and the second scintillators than the first and the second photodiodes raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Regarding at least claim 15, the additional claim recitations including the array of optical cross-talk inhibitors located closer to the array of scintillators than the array of photodiodes raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Regarding at least claim 20, the additional claim recitations including the optical cross-talk mask located closer to the cellular arrangement of scintillators than the cellular arrangement of photodiodes raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.